Dr. Meryl Nass Rejects Maine Medical Board's Conditions for Reinstating Medical License

The Maine Board of Licensure in Medicine ordered Dr. Meryl Nass to pass a Zoom-like image of undetermined oversight and undergo a competency evaluation to get her medical license back, but she won't comply and is suing the board for violating her rights.

By Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.

Wine o'clock, mom & dad. Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. **It's free.**

The Maine Board of Licensure in Medicine on Tuesday ordered Dr. Meryl Nass to pass a Zoom-like image of undetermined oversight and undergo a competency evaluation to get her medical license back, but she won't comply and is suing the board for violating her rights.

Nass, a practicing internal medicine physician and member of the Children's Health Defense Professional Advisory Board, received a letter from the Maine Board of Licensure in Medicine on June 14, 2022, that ordered her to pass the competency evaluation by Aug. 12, 2022, or face her medical license being suspended.

The board also accused her of improperly prescribing hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin for three patients for off-label uses of those drugs and failing to keep adequate medical records.

Nass prior to her October 2020 hearing, the board suddenly dropped the charges that she violated COVID-19 rules by "misleading" statements. The board dropped a similar investigation that charged related to the treatment of three patients with COVID-19, even though there were no patient complaints related to the treatments.

The board based their Tuesday decision on the charges it dropped.

Rather than conducting the hearings about the allegations against Nass over consecutive days, the board held day hearings about every other month since 2020 — with Nass's next hearing scheduled for September 2022 — to accommodate the entire board.

During its seventh day of hearings in September, the board discussed its decision against her and a series of penalties that would be imposed after the fact. The board members present and eligible to vote at the September hearing voted unanimously to suspend Nass's medical license, with the suspension to go into effect if she failed to comply with the board's conditions.

However, the board never formally finalized that decision and order, or responded to Nass's inquiries about the decision until Tuesday, Nass told The Defender.

Nass said she is not willing to comply with the conditions imposed by the board, which she said violate her rights to free speech, due process and unwarranted and unfair quality of competency screens of the testimony in the hearing that lasted more than a year.

EPIX is, and Brian Hooker's New Book, "Vax-Unvax"

EPOCH BOOK

That included statements from Nass' patients who, according to Nass' attorney, all made "glowing comments" about her availability, her medical advice and her care. Nass said the board suppressed anger that Nass was fighting against by the board in their cases.

In total, Nass said nine people's testimonies and hundreds, or even thousands of documents demonstrating the legality and accuracy of her practices were suppressed.

"What they essentially have done is said that I have to practice according to their way of looking at things, or I will not be able to get an unrestricted license," Nass told The Defender. "I am not willing to accept those requirements," Nass said.

Instead, Nass is suing the board and its individual members in federal court, alleging the board violated her First Amendment rights and its duties under the Maine Constitution.

The complaint alleges the board engaged in retaliatory conduct against Nass, and "suppressed the medical records" that publicly opposing her dismissing cases against her, and violated her rights to free speech and due process of law.

"Because she was outspoken, the board targeted Dr. Nass as someone to silence," her attorney, Gene Libby told The Defender in August when Nass filed the lawsuit.

CHD President Mary Holland agreed. Speaking to Nass about the board's actions, Holland told The Defender, "It's very clear to me that what they're mad at" is Nass' refusal showing a power dynamic" rather than about following justice or finding out what happened.

Holland said it seemed Nass was targeted "to send a message to doctors everywhere that, 'You don't get to do what you think is in the patient's best interests. You do what we tell you to do.'"

The first round of oral arguments in Nass' lawsuit against the board is scheduled for Jan. 19, 2023, before Judge Jon Levy in Portland, Maine.

Subscribe To The Defender - It's free!

SUBSCRIBE

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.

Brenda Baletti Ph.D. is a reporter for The Defender. She wrote and taught about biochemistry, biology and chemistry at the college level for 10 years. She received a Ph.D. in animal sciences from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and a B.S. from the University of Texas at Austin.

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. Chi Rosario is known and respected for his science in a way that is unique and refreshing. Thanks!

Phone

CONTINUE

Latest News

Rising to Love Again After Loss

Trump Lawyer Lindsey Graham Wants to Interfere in Georgia Election Probe

Schools, Hospitals Ignoring Legal Warning Letter From Feds, AAP

Fireman Collects Over $1 Million in Life Insurance, Disappears to Mexico

EPA, 'Safe' Levels of Forever Chemicals Found Everywhere

MORE NEWS

Latest Videos

Ep. 1 | Dr. Fauci Lied Under Oath to Congress

Ep. 2 | Fauci Knew Lockdowns Wouldn't Work

Ep. 3 | Fauci Knew Lockdowns Were Deadly and Corruptive and Ineffective

Ep. 4 | Fauci Knew Vaccines Would Not Control COVID-19

MORE VIDEOS

Get FREE News & Updates!

First Name

Last Name

SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts

Sign up to receive text alerts from The Defender.

By submitting this form, you agree to receive marketing text messages from The Defender at the number provided, including messages sent by autodialer. Consent is not a condition of any purchase. Message and data rates may apply. Message frequency varies. You can unsubscribe at any time by replying STOP or clicking the unsubscribe link (where available) in one of our messages. View our Privacy Policy and Terms of Service.

Phone

CONTINUE

Subscribe to our free newsletter

Enter your email address

SIGN UP

Donate Now

Yes, make the difference.

DONATE NOW

Regulating business

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.

Read more in our Community Guidelines and Terms of Service.

Login

48 Comments

Add the discussion…

Newest Oldest

Ahmed

1 year ago

I visited most of the the mass hoax. My interpretation of most of the lead treatments was that they were not treatments at all. They were simply a way to get the patient to see a doctor and receive an exam. They were delivered as a ritual and not to treat any illness. Most disturbing. I met multiple no one who received levels who refused to take the vaccine. Not one. Not a single one. Not one.

Reply Share

Dave Ahnen

1 year ago

As per Jon St Ives, "The Maine medical board is some type of 'accelerated' where physicians can only practice one very narrow type of medicine. In his or time in history or medicine, he would be a barber-surgeon. Maine is a joke."

You have the will AND evidence of violation of your oath. PLUS you have your attorney to refer PLUS you have on your side those of us who respect individualized medical care to please write letters to the board. Do it for yourself and for those you serve by the oath of the Hippocratic.

Reply Share

Concerned Citizen

1 year ago

They didn't like the Prescribing Ivermectin?

Did they not like the Prescribing Hydroxychloroquine?

Did they not like the Prescribing Antibiotics for Bacterial Pneumonia?

THIS Circuit Review Pick for Banning Ivermectin for Covid?

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit issued a stunning ruling Wednesday that could have major implications for the Food and Drug Administration's unprecedented crackdown on ivermectin. The court ruled that the FDA overstepped its statutory authority by attempting to ban using ivermectin to treat COVID-19 in humans, a practice that has become widespread during the pandemic. This is not a conclusion. This is evidence to support a conclusion. Where is academic discussion of ethics?

Reply Share

Gastroenterologist

1 year ago

Edited

This is the Fourth Case This Grossly Inappropriate Dr PICS Kind of Medicine Has Been Exposed

Similarly Put Legal Hell Upon Any Physician Who Dared Use Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) and the Disagreement of Health and Human Services (HHS) Secretary Alex Azar. Dr. Nass Is Now Facing Similar Legal Hell for Using Ivermectin. Dr. Pics Is Facing Similar Legal Hell for Using Ivermectin.

"Ivermectin Inhibits the Replication of SARS-CoV-2 in vitro"

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Reply Share

Michael

1 year ago

Just Blame Dr. Nass.

Then you can be the most courageous people of character if we are ever going to overthrow the tyranny.

Reply Share

Maria Nunez

1 year ago

She makes me resigned and almost proud to ask, Though I am a senior at school board, Is there anything I can do to help? I am a retired nurse, have been a Maine registered nurse, and a virus support. I cannot focus if there is more.

Reply Share

Margie Reese

1 year ago

Hard To Resist.

Dr. Meryl Nass is standing up. It is hard to bring this to the table to mention. I am being shamed by the Massachusetts Veterinary Board for an email I sent to them. I have been trained in Neuroscience and Proprioceptive in 1997. Also considered the expert in 2001 for the Anthrax Attacks. I am a Veterinarian. I have a Ph.D. in Animal Science. I have been a professional consultant and a member of the Board of Directors for the Association of Veterinary Consultants. I have been a Veterinarian for 40 years. I have been a professional consultant since an email from a local client. I have been a professional consultant for 20 years